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OVERVIEW

• Background of RePHSS Initiative (formerly CPHFRI) in Canada
• Methodological innovation for studying complex systems and interventions as a cross cutting theme in our research program
• A complex adaptive system lens
• Concept mapping as a “complexity method”
• What is concept mapping?
• Two studies presented as examples of the method
HISTORY

• A series of PH Emergencies in Canada in the early 2000s (SARS, tainted blood scandal, water contamination events, listeriosis)
• Loss of public health infrastructure
• Need identified to define core functions of PH
• Need to renew and reform PH Systems
• Renewal process initiated across the country
• Core Functions Framework developed in BC as the centerpiece of PH system renewal
• CPHFRI, an interdisciplinary team of PH decision makers, practitioners and researchers established to develop PHSSR in BC/Canada
• Think Tank held in 2007 to develop initial PHSSR priorities, a framework to guide our research, and a set of collaboration principles
GOALS OF CPHFRI

• Public health systems renewal in BC and Canada
• Advancing PHSSR in BC and Canada
• *Methodological development for studying complex public and population health interventions within complex systems*
• Training public/population health researchers
• Contributing to evidence-informed practice and public health practice improvement
• Improving the health of the population & promoting health equity
METHODOLOGICAL INNOVATION

• A complex adaptive systems lens informs our PHSSR work

• This requires a new perspective on research methodology – i.e., using what we are calling “complexity methods” to capitalize on the concepts of complexity science
KEY COMPLEXITY CONCEPTS

• **Non-linearity** — recursive, non-linear progression, sensitivity to initial conditions

• **Emergence** — patterns emerge from self-organization

• **Dynamical** — interactions among, within, between sub-systems are turbulent and unpredictable

• **Adaptive** — interacting elements and agents respond and adapt to each other

• **Uncertainty** — under conditions of complexity, processes and outcomes are unpredictable

• **Co-evolutionary** — as interactive and adapting agents self-organize they co-evolve
RELEVANT METHODS

• Case study design
• Situational analysis mapping
• Social network analysis
• Systems dynamic modelling
• GIS mapping
• Causal loop diagrams
• Concept mapping
WHAT IS CONCEPT MAPPING?

• A participatory, mixed-method structured conceptualization process used to develop a conceptual framework of ideas from a diverse group of participants

• Results are displayed as a concept map – a visual representation of the group’s ideas that shows how the ideas are inter-related, and can illustrate which ideas are most relevant, important, or appropriate for the purpose
WHAT IS CONCEPT MAPPING?

• Most often, aims to provide concrete and specific guidance to PH practitioners and decision makers dealing with complex PH programs and issues
• Engages participants throughout the process
• Combines qualitative brainstorming and unstructured sorting with multivariate methods to construct maps
PURPOSES FOR CONCEPT MAPPING

• Guide planning or create a framework for evaluation
• Generate hypotheses and build theory
• Develop measures, indicators, or scales
• Assess fidelity of a program model’s transfer from program developers to program staff
• As an appropriate research method that meets ethical guidelines for studying indigenous communities
• Develop a research agenda
• Conceptually clarify ambiguous/contested concepts
Trochim (2005) argues that concept mapping is related to complexity in at least 3 distinct ways:

• concept mapping is itself a complex adaptive system (CAS);

• the maps that result are useful for identifying the properties of complex systems in policy contexts;

• the maps can be used to manage human systems toward a goal while at the same time leveraging the dynamic, adaptive, evolutionary and emergent potential of complex systems.
Preparation – selecting participants and developing the focus prompt

Generating Statements – brainstorming

Structuring Statements – sorting and rating

Representing Statements – computing maps with multidimensional scaling, cluster analysis, pattern matching

Interpreting Maps – with participants

Using Maps – for planning, evaluation or other purposes
THREE CONCEPT MAPPING STUDIES

1. Identifying effective strategies for incorporating evidence into practice and decision making

2. Identifying effective strategies for integrating health equity into practice and decision making?

3. Developing practical criteria for assessing health equity tools
Applying an Equity Lens to Public Health Practice: Concept Mapping to Identify Strategies and Challenges
• To understand what Public Health decision makers and practitioners identify as important to enable them to integrate health equity into practice and decision making
• Prompt: **Equity can be incorporated into public health practice and/or decision-making by...**

• 15 people from BC and 45 people from ON responded to the brainstorming survey

• Total participants = 60
  – BC: all roles and 5 of 6 HAs
  – ON: all roles and 6 of 6 HUs + Ministry + PHO represented

• Brainstorming statements were synthesized resulting in 33 statements
• Knowledge users’ requested that members of the research team (academics and knowledge users) do the sorting, 16 people participated in the sorting phase

• Purpose is to sort statements based on similarity into unique groups with each statement only going into one group

• No value or priority is assigned
• The rating was done online and sent out to all knowledge users.
  – 17 people from BC and 51 people from ON
• Total participants = 68
• Participants were asked to rate each of the 33 statements on importance and feasibility
• Used a 5 point Likert scale – 1 not important or feasible to 5 very important or feasible
MULTIDIMENSIONAL SCALING

• Each sort converted to a 0,1 co-occurrence matrix and summed across participants providing the input for the MDS analysis
• MDS takes the (dis)similarity data and represents them as distances in two dimensional space, entering them into a bivariate plot that is the basic point map
HIERARCHICAL CLUSTER ANALYSIS

• Cluster analysis partitions the MDS statement map hierarchically into non-overlapping clusters

• Cluster arrangement is superimposed on the point map to produce a cluster point map

• Some clusters may be merged if it makes sense to do so, and they are named by participants
Building Capacity

Building a Culture of Equity

Balancing Tensions

Healthy Public Policy

Multiple Ways of Knowing

Common Simple Messaging

Building a Knowledge Platform

CLUSTER POINT MAP
EQUITY CONCEPT MAP

Eradicating structural racism

Common simple messaging
Driving healthy public policy
Honoring multiple ways of knowing
Balancing tensions
Establishing a culture of equity
Building a knowledge platform
Building capacity in workforce/workplace
CLUSTER 3: DRIVING HEALTHY PUBLIC POLICY

3 Investing dollars into policies that address equity issues and the social determinants of health

4 Considering the political, social and economic factors.

14 Working with policymakers to integrate equity in all policies.

16 Advocating for policy change at all organizational and political levels with the goal to reduce inequities.
IMPORTANCE AND FEASIBILITY: BC AND ONTARIO COMBINED

- Establishing a culture of equity
- Driving healthy public policy
- Balancing tensions
- Honoring multiple ways of knowing
- Common, simple messaging
- Building a knowledge platform
- Building capacity in the workforce and workplace
Importance and Feasibility: BC
Importance and Feasibility: Ontario
GO-ZONE 3: DRIVING HEALTHY PUBLIC POLICY
GO-ZONE 4: BUILDING CAPACITY IN THE WORKFORCE/WORKPLACE
• Strong evidence that developing healthy public policy is important strategy for reducing health inequities, and PH practitioners agree that it is important
• Yet, they are sceptical that this can happen and do not perceive it as feasible
• If practitioners do not see this work as feasible, they may not be inclined to seek out opportunities to work with policy makers on this issue, or to advocate for policies to address health equity
CONCLUSIONS

• At the same time, this study, grounded in the experiences of both practitioners and decision makers, provides some concrete direction about potential actions that are identified as both feasible and important.

• These strategies are congruent with emerging literature on effective action to address health inequities, with the exception that intersectoral collaboration was not identified
Objective: What is the practical utility of available tools?

Prompt: To be useful, a health equity tool should...
Is this tool **Practical?**

- Will the tool contribute to improvements in programs and/or policies?
- Will the tool contribute to the identification of specific actions to improve health equity?
- Is there a step in the tool that engages or calls for participation of the community or people affected by health inequities?
- Is the tool easy to use and understand?
- Is the tool quick to use and short?
- Is there a clear set of steps that guide the use of the tool?
Conclusions

• Concept mapping may be
  – Used for a variety of purposes
  – Employs visual analytics
  – Engages a variety of individuals and groups in the analysis
  – Provides practical information for enhancing PHSS
Equity Lens in Public Health
http://www.uvic.ca/research/projects/elph/
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