Abstract
Integration among health care professionals, health care systems, and public health organizations is important to address complex health problems affecting the public and individuals. Developing a taxonomy that captures the key domains of integration can support research and evaluation efforts. This challenge is evident on the horizon, as the implementation of the Affordable Care Act and its emphasis on coordination between and within the health care delivery and public health systems. In this article, we present a practice-oriented taxonomy to support these efforts.

Methods
We conducted an scoping review of integration studies to identify the components and dimensions needed to inform our work. We searched multiple databases for studies related to integration, including PubMed, CINAHL Plus, Academic Search Complete, PsycINFO, and Social Sciences Citation Index. We used combinations of key terms for integration, health care, public health, and partnership to identify studies relevant to our work. We used reference lists of included studies to identify additional relevant studies.

Results
From the review, we identified eight types of intervention: (1) Process of Communication and Exchange between Organizations and Partners; (2) Infrastructure and Support for Integration; (3) Clinical-community Relationships; (4) Risk Factors; (5) Maternal and child health, Social determinants of health; (6) Infrastructure and Support for Medical Care; (7) Community-based Education; and (8) Maternal and child health, Social determinants of health. Our proposed definition is as follows:

Definition of Integration
The means available for the intervention to take place and the intervention takes place at the same time in the intervention context.

Discussion
We selected the domains of integration based on the scoping review of existing concepts and definitions. We also used feedback from experts in the field to validate and refine the domains.

Conclusion
We have presented a practice-oriented taxonomy of integration that can be used to classify and describe integration interventions. This taxonomy can be used to support research and evaluation efforts to understand and improve the integration of health care and public health systems.
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