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Questions and Discussion
Dissemination and Implementation Research to Improve Value (PBRN DIRECTIVE)

- **Four 24-month DIRECTIVE studies**, awarded to consortia of two or more Public Health PBRNs in 2014

- Builds on MPROVE and DACS measures and methods

- Examine facilitators for implementation of evidence-based prevention programs
  - Resources and infrastructures
  - Partnerships & inter-organizational coordination
Dissemination and Implementation Research to Improve Value (PBRN DIRECTIVE)

- **Studies** to assess quality & costs of public health service delivery strategies
- Draw conclusions on comparative effectiveness & value
- Today’s CA-AL PBRN presentation is third in the DIRECTIVE study presentation series
  - Oct. 14: CT-MA PBRN study
  - Dec. 9: CA-AL PBRN study
  - Feb. 18: CO-KS-NE PBRN study
  - Feb. 25: WA-WI-NY-OR PBRN study
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Study Design

> Research Question: How does cross-jurisdictional sharing (CJS) affect the volume, intensity, and unit costs of public health services?

> Our hypothesis: More intense and more formalized sharing will increase service intensity and volume, and drive down unit costs

> Our empirical strategy:

1. Survey to measure the extent of CJS among LHJs on all foundational public health services
2. Combine survey results with MPROVE measures and LHJ-level expenditures (where available); emphasis on communicable disease
3. Case studies to illustrate how, exactly, CJS affects service delivery
Key Findings So Far

> Most LHJs use CJS to improve service delivery, not as a substitute for service delivery
> Sharing is most common for emergency preparedness, communicable disease, maternal-child health, epidemiology
> Sharing is much more common among small LHJs
> Initial evidence that sharing improves volume and reach of public health services
Some Context

- Cross-Jurisdictional Sharing, “Inter-local Collaboration”, and “Functional Consolidation” are a popular alternative to consolidation, annexation, and regionalization

- Strong policymaker interest in both perspectives
  - NY Governor Cuomo: Proposed $20 million “Municipal Efficiency and Consolidation Competition”
  - Oregon “Public Health Modernization” Effort

- Small empirical literature suggests consolidation and regionalization can produce significant efficiency in local public health services (Mays, et. al. 2012; Mays 2012; Stefank 2012)

- Unclear at the moment if cross-jurisdictional sharing produces similar gains
Survey Implementation

- Web-based survey administered to local health jurisdictions in NY (N=58), OR (N=35), and WA (N=35)
- Instrument based on CJS survey developed by Madamala, et. al. (2014) for LHJs in WI (N=92)
- Respondents across states: NY = 28, OR = 30, WA = 25
- Respondents include several large urban LHJs – New York City, Seattle/King County, Portland (OR), Milwaukee (WI) – and good coverage of rural LHJs
Where is CJS Happening?
Summary Survey Results

> 70% of LHJs have at least one sharing arrangement
> 28% of respondents say they’re sharing more now than in the past 12 months
> Roughly half of CJS are formal MOUs and contracts; the other half are informal, usually “handshake” agreements
> Around 85% of CJS were created since the “Great Recession”
Where is CJS Happening?
Formal CJS Arrangements by State and Program/Capability
Where is CJS Happening? 
Formal CJS Arrangements by LHJ Population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pop Group</th>
<th>Group Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>&lt;50k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>50-100k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>100-250k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>250-500k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>&gt;500k</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Where is CJS Happening?

CJS in Development by State and Program/Capability
Why is CJS Happening?
Motivations for CJS by Program/Capability
Do CJS Improve Service Delivery?
Survey Respondent Perceptions

> Respondents overwhelmingly characterize CJS as effective

> Typical characterizations of success include: “Helps us deliver services we otherwise couldn’t deliver”; “Improves our depth of knowledge”; “Gives us capacity we don’t have”

> And yet, less than CJS include a formal evaluation mechanism
  – Moreover, “contract renewal” or “grant renewal” are the most common evaluation mechanisms
  – Less than 10% of CJS include evaluation criteria or performance benchmarks
Do CJS Improve Service Delivery?
Incorporating MPROVE Measures

We combine our CJS survey findings with Multi-Network Practice and Outcome Variation (MPROVE) measures on communicable disease services and capabilities in all four states.

Question: Do CJS improve observable CD outcomes?

Communicable disease measures include:
- Childhood immunization rates
- Sexually-Transmitted Infections – case volume and partner follow-up rates
- Tuberculosis – case volume and treatment rates
- Foodborne/Waterborne case volume
- CD staffing and resources
Does CJS Improve Service Delivery?  
Evidence from Childhood Immunization Completeness
Next Steps

> Analyze impact of CJS on volume and intensity of communicable disease services; other services if MPROVE data are available – Spring 2016

> Case studies on the explanatory links/causal mechanisms between CJS and service-delivery outcomes – Winter
Mini-Grant Opportunity

> The Center for Sharing Public Health Services has created a mini-grant program
  
  – Five teams with **up to $10,000** in funding to support initiatives to explore, plan, implement, or improve cross-jurisdictional sharing (CJS) arrangements.
  
  – Applications will be considered on a rolling basis until **June 10, 2016** (or until all five grants are awarded)
  
  – CJS arrangements including a minimum of three jurisdictions of any size, or, two jurisdictions having a combined population of 50,000 or greater.

> More information at: http://phsharing.org/2016/02/01/center-offers-new-mini-grants/

---

Center for Sharing Public Health Services
Rethinking Boundaries for Better Health
(855) 476-3671 - PHSharing@khi.org
www.phsharing.org
Other Resources

International City/County Management Association case studies on cross-jurisdictional sharing in public health

- Crittenden County, Kentucky
- Prowers County, Colorado
- Mansfield, Connecticut

Available at: http://phsharing.org/2015/01/09/case-studies/
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Questions and Discussion
Thank you for participating in today’s webinar!
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