Integrating Public Health Researchers into the Open Data Ecosystem

Erika Martin, PhD MPH

Rockefeller Institute of Government & University at Albany

California Health and Human Services Open DataFest III Sacramento, CA March 14, 2016

This work was supported by the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation's Public Health Services and Systems Research Program (grant ID #71597)

THE NELSON A.
ROCKEFELLER INSTITUTE OF GOVERNMENT
The public policy research arm of the State University of New York



Project overview

- Limited guidance on tailoring open data to different users
- Open data are only valuable when used
- How can we improve the quality and usability of data for public health research and practice?
 - Systematic review of health data offerings in three open data portals (HealthData.gov, Health Data NY, NYC OpenData)
 - Key informant interviews with practitioners publishing open health data to understand challenges and opportunities
 - Pilot open data linkage project to assess the feasibility of using open data for academic research



CHARACTERISTICS OF DATA USE

Data characteristics

(e.g. missing data, timeframe, data collection procedures, database design, data elements, population)

Data user characteristics

(e.g. intended use, expertise, skills, tasks performed)

Platform promotion and user training

(e.g. value propositions, financial resources, political support, information technology, regulations and data stewardship, legal interpretation of confidentiality protections)

DATA QUALITY AND USABILITY

Intrinsic data quality

(e.g. accuracy, reputation, confidentiality, reliability, validity, objectivity)

Contextual data quality

(e.g. appropriate amount, completeness, concise representation, ease of manipulation, relevance)

Platform usability

(e.g. accessibility, functionality, learnability, representational consistency, visibility)

Metadata quality

(e.g. accuracy, completeness, consistency, interpretability, provenance)

DIRECT AND INDIRECT HEALTH IMPACTS

Short-term impacts

(e.g. availability of health information, data-driven population health planning and monitoring, mHealth development, consumer empowerment, research grants and studies)

Long-term impacts

(e.g. improved population and patient health, enhanced decision-making, higher quality/value medical and public health services)



Systematic review of open data offerings

(HealthData.gov, Health Data NY, NYC OpenData)

- Most data offerings not designed for health research
 - Only one-quarter of open data offerings are structured datasets
 - Most offerings do not contain demographic variables
- Variation in quality and usability across platforms
 - Health Data NY scored highest on intrinsic data quality, contextual data quality, and adherence to Dublin Core metadata standards
- Gaps in meeting "open data" deployment criteria
 - All offerings met basic "web availability" open data standards
 - Fewer met higher standards of being hyperlinked to other data to provide context
- Platforms enable users to discover and access data in novel ways, with areas for improvement
 - Technical problems limit functionality, low web visibility, HealthData.gov is primarily a search engine



Key informant interviews

(Policymakers and practitioners in New York and federal agencies)

- □ Wide range of perceived benefits
 - Internal benefits: improved data/metadata quality, more efficient public health operations (e.g. data silos, FOIA requests)
 - External benefits: health literacy, data-driven improvements in healthcare delivery and built environment, community empowerment, improved data quality, timeliness, and usefulness
 - New users bring innovative ideas
- Numerous challenges to releasing data
 - Critical challenges: resources, cultural resistance, legal and regulatory issues, and data/metadata quality
 - Other challenges: technical issues with legacy systems and data platforms, knowledge gaps, addressing needs of diverse end-users
- General optimism that open data movement will continue
 - Yet success depends on sustained leadership, resources, cultural changes, promoting the use of data, and establishing governance



Pilot data linkage project

(Mapping childhood obesity to the built environment in New York)

Many datasets readily available for public health research

- Can use data creatively to evaluate multiple dimensions of the built environment (e.g. using restaurant inspections data for fast food availability)
- □ Can synthesize data from different domains (health, agriculture, education)

Challenges consistent with findings from other study phases

- Lack of standard definitions for data elements severely constrains interoperability and ability to merge by geographic identifier
- □ Incomplete metadata, e.g. missing codebooks
- Data quality, e.g. incomplete addresses, inconsistent location descriptions
- Data timeliness
- □ High level of geographic aggregation limits value
- □ Some data not easily discoverable (or available) in open data platforms
- Data not yet 5-star, e.g. downloadable in multiple non-proprietary formats and with links to provide context
- Limited usability, e.g. advanced statistical skills required to prepare data



Questions?

- Contact:
 emartin@albany.edu
- For additional project information:
 www.publichealthsystems.org/erika-martin-phd-mph-0
- For materials from fall 2013 workshop on open health data in New York and links to open data resources: www.rockinst.org/ohdoo



Bibliography

- Martin EG, Helbig N, Shah NR. Liberating data to transform healthcare: New York's open data experience. JAMA 2014; 311(24): 2481-2481.
- Martin EG, Helbig N, Birkhead GS. Opening health data: what do researchers want? Early experiences with New York's open health data portal. J Public Health Manag Pract 2015; 21(5): e1-7.
- Martin EG, Law J, Ran W, Helbig N, Birkhead GS. Evaluating the quality and usability of open data for public health research: a systematic review of data offerings on three open data platforms. *J Public Health Manag Pract.* [In press; online ahead of print]
- Martin EG, Begany GM. Opening government health data to the public: benefits, challenges, and lessons learned from early innovators. [Under review]
- Dwicaksono A, Brisette I, Birkhead GS, Bozlak CT, Martin EG. Evaluating the contribution of the built environment to obesity among New York State students. [Working paper.]

