

Connecticut PBRN

Key Informant Survey Summary of Results

Appendix A

Appendix A Table 1	Total n (%)	Full-Time Municipal n (%)	Part-Time Municipal n (%)	Health District n (%)
Health Department Type	22	5 (22.7)	4 (18.2)	13 (59.1)
Health Jurisdiction Population, mean (range)	69,230 (6,504 – 146,425)	66,794 (29,185-146,425)	11,009 (6,504 – 16,783)	88,079 (28,037-135,000)
LHD Hours per Work Week				
<i>40 hours per week</i>	5 (22.7)	3 (60.0)	0 (0.0)	2 (15.4)
<i>37.5 hours per week</i>	7 (31.8)	2 (40.0)	1 (25.0)	4 (30.8)
<i>35 hours per week</i>	8 (36.4)	0 (0.0)	1 (25.0)	7 (53.8)
<i><25 hours per week</i>	2 (9.1)	0 (0.0)	2 (50.0)	0 (0.0)
Director of Health				
Director of Health Salaried Work Week				
<i>40 hours per week</i>	8 (36.4)	3 (60.0)	0 (0.0)	5 (38.5)
<i>37.5 hours per week</i>	7 (31.8)	2 (40.0)	1 (25.0)	4 (30.8)
<i>35 hours per week</i>	4 (18.2)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	4 (30.8)
<i><25 hours per week</i>	3 (13.6)	0 (0.0)	3 (75.0)	0 (0.0)
Proportion of Director of Health time spent on environmental health, mean (range)	28.5% (5.0% - 85.0%)	26.5% (13.0% - 40.0%)	33.8% (5.0% - 85.0%)	26.8% (10.0% - 35.0%)
Hours per week Director of Health spends on environmental health, mean (range)	9.5 (1.0 – 20.0)	10.0 (5.0 – 15.0)	7.0 (1.0 – 16.0)	10.4 (3.8 – 20.0)
Director of Health performs environmental health inspections	8 (44.4)	0 (0.0)	2 (50.0)	6 (54.5)
<i>Food service inspections</i>	6 (33.3)	0 (0.0)	2 (50.0)	4 (36.3)
<i>Subsurface sewage disposal inspections</i>	5 (27.8)	0 (0.0)	2 (50.0)	3 (27.3)
<i>Environmental lead inspections</i>	6 (33.3)	0 (0.0)	2 (50.0)	4 (36.3)
<i>Private water well inspections</i>	5 (27.8)	0 (0.0)	2 (50.0)	3 (27.3)
<i>Complaints</i>	5 (27.8)	0 (0.0)	1 (25.0)	4 (36.3)
<i>Institutional inspections (schools, etc.)</i>	1 (5.6)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (9.1)
Number of Employees				
Total FTE Employees, mean (range)	11.3 (0.0 – 75.0)	20.9 (4.0 – 75.0)	1.6 (0.0 – 3.5)	10.5 (5.0 – 19.5)
Total FTE Contractors, mean (range)	1.0 (0.0 – 5.5)	2.4 (0.0 – 5.5)	0.15 (0.0 – 0.5)	0.6 (0.0 – 2.0)
Total FTE Environmental Health Employees, mean (range)	4.3 (0.0 – 10.0)	3.7 (2.5 – 6.0)	1.0 (0.0 – 2.0)	5.7 (2.0- 10.0)
Total FTE Environmental Health Contractors, mean (range)	0.08 (0.0 – 0.6)	0.1 (0.0 – 0.6)	0.0	0.1 (0.0 – 0.5)
Type of environmental health administrative staff				
<i>At least one dedicated staff for environmental health section</i>	3 (15.8)	1 (33.3)	0 (0.0)	2 (16.7)
<i>At least one shared administrative staff for entire LHD</i>	14 (73.7)	2 (66.7)	2 (50.0)	10 (83.3)
<i>No administrative staff</i>	1 (5.3)	0 (0.0)	1 (25.0)	0 (0.0)
<i>Shared administrative staff with other</i>	1 (5.3)	0 (0.0)	1 (25.0)	0 (0.0)

<i>departments in town</i>				
LHD with Union	8 (38.1)	4 (80.0)	0 (0.0)	4 (33.3)
Location of LHD Offices				
At Municipal Building	12 (57.1)	4 (80.0)	4 (100.0)	4 (30.8)
<i>Rent/Overhead included in LHD Budget</i>	5 (23.8)	1 (20.0)	1 (25.0)	3 (75.0)
Not at Municipal Building	9 (42.9)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	9 (69.2)
Online Resources by LHD				
LHD has website	17 (89.5)	3 (100.0)	2 (50.0)	12 (100.0)
Website includes information on:				
<i>Food service licensure and inspection</i>	17 (89.5)	3 (100.0)	2 (50.0)	12 (100.0)
<i>Lead poisoning prevention and control</i>	13 (68.4)	2 (66.7)	1 (25.0)	10 (83.3)
<i>Private water wells</i>	13 (68.4)	2 (66.7)	2 (50.0)	9 (69.2)
<i>Solid waste removal</i>	14 (73.7)	1 (33.3)	2 (50.0)	11 (84.6)
LHD allows online form submission	1 (5.9)	0 (0.0)	1 (25.0)	0 (0.0)
LHD has capacity to contact staff online with questions or complaints	16 (94.1)	2 (66.7)	2 (50.0)	12 (100.0)
LHD utilizes social media	7 (36.8)	2 (66.7)	0 (0.0)	5 (41.7)
<i>Facebook</i>	7 (36.8)	2 (66.7)	0 (0.0)	5 (41.7)
<i>Twitter</i>	3 (15.8)	1 (33.3)	0 (0.0)	2 (16.7)
LHD Budget				
Budget for SFY 2013 (FY 2012-13), mean (range)	\$1,139,284 (49,000 – 4,369,669)	\$1,956,322 (577,615 – 4,369,669)	\$111,830 (49,000 – 198,945)	\$1,191,889 (565,391 – 2,230,776)
Budget reflects total LHD operation cost?				
<i>Yes</i>	18 (85.7)	1 (20.0)	4 (100.0)	13 (100.0)
<i>No</i>	3 (14.3)	3 (60.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Funding of Fringe Benefits				
<i>Part of District/Department Budget</i>	14 (63.6)	1 (20.0)	0 (0.0)	13 (100.0)
<i>Included in overall city/town budget as personnel line item</i>	5 (22.7)	3 (60.0)	2 (50.0)	0 (0.0)
<i>Decided by Town/city comptroller</i>	1 (4.5)	0 (0.0)	1 (25.0)	0 (0.0)
<i>No Fringe Benefits</i>	1 (4.5)	0 (0.0)	1 (25.0)	0 (0.0)
Percent of Salary for Fringe Benefits, mean (range)	32.3% (5.3% - 45.0%)	37.0% (33.0% - 43.0%)	21.2% (7.5% - 35.0%)	33.0% (5.3% - 45.0%)
Proportion of LHD budget used for environmental health services, mean (range)	64.8% (15.0% - 95.0%)	23.5% (15.0% – 32.0%)	84.8% (75.0% - 95.0%)	65.1% (39.0%- 81.0%)
Amount budgeted for environmental health services, mean (range)	\$545,225.70 (44,465-1,066,000)	\$441,206.40 (292,000 -590,413)	\$299,072.30 (44,465-700,352)	\$639,875.60 (300,000-1,066,000)
LHD Funding Sources				
Federal Funds Received by LHD	16 (80.0)	3 (100.0)	0 (0.0)	13 (100.0)
<i>Preventive Block Grant</i>	16 (80.0)	3 (100.0)	-	13 (100.0)
<i>Bioterrorism/Emergency Preparedness</i>	16 (80.0)	3 (100.0)	-	13 (100.0)
<i>HUD support for Lead</i>	3 (15.0)	0 (0.0)	-	3 (23.1)
<i>Medicare</i>	2 (10.0)	1 (33.3%)	-	1 (7.7)
<i>Other Federal Funds</i>	4 (20.0)	0 (0.0)	-	4 (30.8)

Not Receiving Federal Funds	4 (20.0)	0 (0.0)	4 (100.0)	0 (0.0)
State Funds Received by LHD	17 (85.0)	3 (100.0)	1 (25.0)	13 (100.0)
<i>Per capita funding</i>	14 (70.0)	2 (66.6)	0 (0.0)	12 (92.3)
<i>Lead Investigation Funds</i>	17 (85.0)	3 (100.0)	1 (25.0)	13 (100.0)
<i>Medicaid</i>	1 (5.0)	0 (0.0)	(0.0)	1 (7.7)
<i>Other State Funds</i>	4 (20.0)	1 (33.3)	0 (0.0)	3 (23.1)
Not Receiving State Funds	3 (15.0)	0 (0.0)	3 (75.0)	0 (0.0)
Other Funds Received by LHD	11 (55.0)	2 (66.7)	0 (0.0)	9 (69.2)
<i>Contract Revenue</i>	5 (25.0)	0 (0.0)	-	5 (55.6)
<i>Local Grants (CDBG, etc.)</i>	3 (15.0)	1 (33.3)	-	2 (22.2)
<i>Foundations/Corporations</i>	4 (20.0)	1 (33.3)	-	3 (33.3)
<i>Other Funding Sources</i>	7 (35.0)	2 (66.7)	-	5 (55.6)
Use of Environmental Health Fees				
<i>Become part of LHD Operating Budget</i>	13 (65.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	13 (100.0)
<i>Go Into Municipal General Fund</i>	7 (35.0)	3 (100.0)	4 (100.0)	0 (0.0)
Percentage of Budget from Funding Sources				
<i>Federal Funding, mean (range)</i>	10.93 (0.0 – 39.0)	-	-	13.7 (0.0 – 39.0)
<i>State Funding, mean (range)</i>	15.0 (0.0 – 34.0)	34.0 (34.0)	0.5 (0.0 – 1.0)	15.8 (9.0 – 30.0)
<i>Local Funding, mean (range)</i>	53.7 (1.0 – 100.0)	58.0 (58.0)	51.0 (1.0 – 100.0)	54.2 (42.0 – 76.0)
<i>Other Funding, mean (range)</i>	7.5 (0.0 – 22.0)	8.0 (8.0)	-	6.4 (0.0 – 22.0)
Lead Poisoning and Investigation				
LHD has plan for EBLL investigations	20 (100.0)	3 (100.0)	4 (100.0)	13 (100.0)
Method for conducting lead epidemiologic investigation				
<i>Use LHD staff who are lead certified and/or nurse</i>	18 (90.0)	3 (75.0)	3 (75.0)	12 (92.3)
<i>Issue contract with another LHD</i>	2 (10.0)	1 (25.0)	1 (25.0)	0 (0.0)
Method for conducting lead environmental investigation				
<i>Use LHD staff who are lead certified</i>	15 (71.4)	3 (75.0)	2 (50.0)	10 (76.9)
<i>Issue contract with another LHD</i>	2 (9.4)	1 (25.0)	1 (25.0)	0 (0.0)
<i>Hire consultant or private company</i>	4 (19.1)	0 (0.0)	1 (25.0)	3 (23.1)
Means of obtaining XRF machine for environmental investigation				
<i>LHD owns XRF machine</i>	2 (11.1)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	2 (16.7)
<i>LHD borrows XRF machine from DPH Lead Program</i>	15 (83.3)	2 (100.0)	4 (100.0)	9 (75.0)
<i>Hire consultant with XRF machine</i>	1 (5.6)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (8.3)
Method to conduct clinical follow-up with patient and provider				
<i>Use LHD staff who are lead certified and/or nurse</i>	19 (90.5)	3 (75.5)	3 (75.0)	13 (100.0)
<i>Issue contract with another LHD</i>	2 (9.5)	1 (25.0)	1 (25.0)	0 (0.0)
Completing required MAVEN reports				
Yes	18 (90.0)	3 (100.0)	3 (75.0)	12 (92.3)

<i>No</i>	2 (10.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (25.0)	1 (7.7)
Personnel responsible for completing MAVEN reports				
<i>Chief Sanitarian</i>	3 (16.7)	0 (0.0)	1 (33.3)	2 (16.7)
<i>Nurse</i>	1 (5.6)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (8.3)
<i>Lead certified staff</i>	3 (16.7)	1 (33.3)	1 (33.3)	1 (8.3)
<i>Administrative staff</i>	1 (5.6)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (8.3)
<i>Director of Health</i>	1 (5.6)	0 (0.0)	1 (33.3)	0 (0.0)
<i>Shared Responsibility</i>	9 (50.0)	2 (66.7)	0 (0.0)	7 (58.3)
LHD ever dealing with case of lead poisoning				
<i>Yes</i>	18 (90)	3 (100.0)	2 (50.0)	13 (100.0)
<i>No</i>	2 (10.0)	0 (0.0)	2 (50.0)	0 (0.0)
Number of Cases of EBLL >20ug/dl in past 5 years (2008-2012), mean (range)	12.2 (0.0 – 97.0)	48.5 (0.0 – 97.0)	1.0 (0.0 – 2.0)	7.9 (0.0 – 30.0)
Average number of hours required for typical lead case, mean (range)				
<i>Environmental Health Staff</i>	26.6 (5.0 – 40.0)	15.0 (15.0)	-	27.6 (5.0 – 40.0)
<i>Administrative Staff</i>	5.7 (0.0 – 40.0)	-	-	5.7 (0.0 – 40.0)
<i>Registered Nurse</i>	10.0 (0.0 – 40.0)	2.5 (0.0 – 5.0)	-	11.7 (0.0 – 40.0)
<i>Director of Health</i>	9.2 (0.0 – 40.0)	2.0 (2.0)	10.0 (10.0)	9.8 (0.0 – 40.0)
<i>Contractor</i>	2.6 (0.0 – 12.0)	0.0 (0.0)	12.0 (12.0)	1.2 (0.0 – 4.0)
Private Wells and Septic Systems				
Jurisdiction has private wells				
<i>Yes</i>	18 (94.7)	2 (66.7)	4 (100.0)	12 (100.0)
<i>No</i>	1 (5.3)	1 (33.3)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Personnel responsible for inspecting, testing, and permitting wells				
<i>Sanitarian</i>	11 (57.9)	1 (50.0)	2 (50.0)	8 (61.5)
<i>Director of Health</i>	1 (5.3)	0 (0.0)	1 (25.0)	0 (0.0)
<i>Both Sanitarian and Director of Health</i>	6 (31.6)	0 (0.0)	1 (25.0)	5 (55.6)
<i>Both Sanitarian and Building Department</i>	1 (5.3)	1 (50.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Jurisdiction has residences with sewage systems for waste disposal	20 (100.0)	3 (100.0)	4 (100.0)	13 (100.0)
Jurisdiction conducts inspections, investigations, and permitting of subsurface disposal systems	20 (100.0)	3 (100.0)	4 (100.0)	13 (100.0)
Number of staff with Phase I Subsurface Sewage Disposal Certification, mean (range)	4.7 (1.0 – 9.0)	4.3 (3.0 – 7.0)	1.5 (1.0 – 3.0)	5.7 (3.0 – 9.0)
Number of staff with Phase II Subsurface Sewage Disposal Certification, mean (range)	3.9 (1.0 – 9.0)	2.3 (2.0 – 3.0)	1.5 (1.0 – 3.0)	5.0 (3.0 – 9.0)
Amount of time spent on aspect of a typical new home system or a repair				

of an existing system, mean (range)				
<i>Test Lots (deep holes)</i>	2.9 (1.0 – 10.5)	2.5 (2.5)	2.3 (1.5 – 4.0)	3.3 (1.0 – 10.5)
<i>Site Plan Review</i>	1.9 (0.5 – 5.0)	2.5 (2.0 – 3.0)	1.4 (0.5 – 2.5)	2.1 (1.0 – 5.0)
<i>On-Site Work and Consultation</i>	1.9 (0.5 – 7.0)	4.3 (4.0 – 4.5)	1.1 (1.0 – 1.5)	1.8 (0.5 – 7.0)
<i>Septic Installation and Inspection</i>	2.8 (0.5 – 10.5)	4.0 (3.5 – 4.5)	1.5 (0.5 – 2.5)	3.2 (1.0 – 10.5)
<i>Writing Orders for Repairs</i>	1.3 (0.5 – 4.0)	2.0 (2.0)	0.8 (0.5 – 1.0)	1.3 (0.5 – 4.0)
<i>Paperwork including processing permit</i>	1.6 (0.3 – 5.0)	3 (2.0- 4.0)	0.8 (0.25 – 1.5)	1.6 (0.5 – 5.0)
LHDs conducting 19-13-B100a application review	19 (95.0)	3 (100.0)	4 (100.0)	12 (92.3)
Time spent on application activities, mean (range)				
<i>In-house plan review and approval</i>	2.5 (0.5 – 16.0)	2.9 (2.8 – 3.0)	0.9 (0.5 – 1.5)	3.1 (0.5 – 16.0)
<i>Required Site Visit</i>	3.2 (0.5 – 17.5)	3.3 (2.5 – 4.0)	1.5 (0.5 – 4.0)	3.9 (1.0 – 17.5)
Food Safety				
LHD provides license to FSEs	20 (100.0)	3 (100.0)	4 (100.0)	13 (100.0)
LHD employees conduct mandated FSE inspections	20 (100.0)	3 (100.0)	4 (100.0)	13 (100.0)
Level of training of staff conducting inspections				
<i>Registered sanitarian</i>	7 (36.8)	1 (33.3)	3 (75.0)	3 (25.0)
<i>Registered sanitarian & sanitarian without R.S.</i>	7 (36.8)	1 (33.3)	1 (25.0)	5 (41.7)
<i>Registered sanitarian & sanitarian with food service certification only</i>	2 (10.5)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	2 (16.7)
<i>Registered sanitarian, sanitarian without R.S., & sanitarian with food service certification only</i>	3 (15.8)	1 (33.3)	0 (0.0)	2 (16.7)
LHD using contractors to conduct FSE inspections	3 (15.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (25.0)	2 (15.4)
<i>Contract with registered sanitarian</i>	2 (10.0)	-	1 (25.0)	1 (7.7)
<i>Contract with registered sanitarian & sanitarian without R.S.</i>	1 (5.0)	-	0 (0.0)	1 (7.7)
Contractor hours worked per week, Mean (range)	6.0 (0.0 – 20.0)	-	1.0 (0.0 – 2.0)	9.3 (0.0 - 20.0)
Personnel supervising contractor				
<i>Chief Sanitarian</i>	2 (10.0)	-	1 (25.0)	1 (7.7)
<i>Senior Sanitarian</i>	1 (5.0)	-	0 (0.0)	1 (7.7)
Hours spent by personnel on annual licensure, mean (range)				
<i>Environmental health staff</i>	154.7 (4.0 – 1500.0)	25.0 (25.0)	140.0 (4.0 – 402.0)	170.5 (8.0 – 1500.0)
<i>Administrative staff</i>	84.5 (2.0 – 500.0)	60.0 (60.0)	72.8 (2.0 – 277.0)	91.0 (15.0 – 500.0)
Time spent on FSE inspections & related activities, mean (range)				

<i>Class I FSE inspection</i>	0.6 (0.5 – 1.0)	0.7 (0.5 – 0.8)	0.6 (0.5 – 0.85)	0.6 (0.5 – 1.0)
<i>Class II FSE inspection</i>	0.9 (0.5 – 1.5)	0.9 (0.5 – 1.5)	0.9 (0.75 – 1.3)	0.9 (0.5 – 1.5)
<i>Class III FSE inspection</i>	1.7 (1.0 – 2.5)	2.2 (1.5 – 2.5)	1.5 (1.0 – 2.0)	1.7 (1.0 – 2.3)
<i>Class IV FSE inspection</i>	2.1 (1.5 – 3.5)	2.8 (2.0 – 3.5)	1.9 (1.5 – 2.5)	2.0 (1.5 – 2.8)
<i>Recording reports/updating database</i>	1.0 (0.1 – 7.0)	5.0 (3.0 – 7.0)	0.5 (0.3 – 1.0)	0.4 (0.1 – 1.0)
LHD with FSE inspection records scanned or entered into database	11 (57.9)	3 (100.0)	1 (25.0)	7 (58.3)
LHD with computerized database for FSE licensing and inspection	15 (78.9)	3 (100.0)	2 (50.0)	10 (83.3)
<i>Digital Health Department Database</i>	2 (10.5)	1 (33.3)	0 (0.0)	1 (8.3)
<i>In-House Software Program (ACCESS, etc.) Database</i>	10 (52.6)	2 (66.6)	2 (50.0)	6 (50.0)
<i>Filemaker Database</i>	2 (10.5)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	2 (16.7)
<i>Filebound Database</i>	1 (5.2)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (8.3)
Personnel responsible for data entry				
<i>Individual conducting inspection</i>	7 (58.3)	1 (33.3)	2 (100.0)	4 (40.0)
<i>Administrative Staff</i>	3 (20.0)	2 (66.7)	0 (0.0)	1 (10.0)
<i>Individual conducting inspection & administrative staff</i>	4 (26.7)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	4 (40.0)
<i>Food service contractor</i>	1 (6.7)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (10.0)
LHD conducting periodic evaluation of FSE data	18 (94.7)	3 (100.0)	4 (100.0)	11 (91.7)
Personnel responsible for analyzing and/or evaluating FSE data				
<i>Chief Sanitarian</i>	7 (38.9)	0 (0.0)	2 (50.0)	5 (45.5)
<i>Director of Health</i>	3 (16.7)	1 (33.3)	1 (25.0)	1 (9.1)
<i>Chief Sanitarian & Director of Health</i>	6 (33.3)	2 (66.7)	1 (25.0)	3 (27.3)
<i>Chief Sanitarian & Food Service Coordinator</i>	1 (5.6)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (9.1)
<i>Share Responsibility across LHD</i>	1 (5.6)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (9.1)
Frequency of FSE data analysis				
<i>Monthly</i>	4 (22.2)	1 (33.3)	0 (0.0)	3 (27.3)
<i>Quarterly</i>	8 (44.4)	2 (66.7)	2 (50.0)	4 (30.8)
<i>Annually</i>	4 (22.2)	0 (0.0)	1 (25.0)	3 (27.3)
<i>Every 2 Years</i>	1 (5.6)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (9.1)
<i>Ongoing</i>	1 (5.6)	0 (0.0)	1 (25.0)	0 (0.0)
<i>Never</i>	1 (5.6)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (9.1)
LHD Trainings for FSE employees				
<i>In-House Basic Food Service Course</i>	4 (21.1)	2 (66.7)	0 (0.0)	2 (16.7)
<i>QFO Training and Certification Course</i>	4 (21.1)	0 (0.0)	1 (25.0)	3 (25.0)
<i>In-House Basic Training & QFO Certification</i>	1 (5.3)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (8.3)
<i>No FSE Training at Department</i>	10 (52.6)	1 (33.3)	3 (75.0)	6 (50.0)
Fee for In-House Training Course, mean (range)	16.3 (0.0 – 30.0)	\$5.00 (0.00 – 10.00)	-	\$27.50 (25.00 – 30.00)

LHD has FSE rating system for public				
<i>Yes</i>	5 (26.3)	0 (0.0)	1 (25.0)	4 (33.3)
<i>No</i>	14 (73.7)	3 (100.0)	3 (75.0)	8 (66.7)
Frequency of rating update				
<i>After each inspection</i>	3 (60.0)	-	0 (0.0)	3 (75.0)
<i>Monthly</i>	1 (20.0)	-	1 (100.0)	0 (0.0)
Personnel responsible for entering and updating FSE ratings				
<i>Chief Sanitarian</i>	1 (20.0)	-	0 (0.0)	1 (25.0)
<i>Administrative Staff</i>	2 (40.0)	-	0 (0.0)	2 (50.0)
<i>FSE Inspectors</i>	2 (40.0)	-	1 (100.0)	1 (25.0)
LHD has formalized relationship with FSE owners, managers, or QFOs and meets with them regularly				
<i>Yes</i>	3 (16.7)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	3 (27.3)
<i>No</i>	15 (83.3)	3 (100.0)	4 (100.0)	8 (72.7)

Legend: FTE= full-time equivalent; LHD= local health district; EBL= elevated blood lead levels; XRF= X-ray fluorescence; DPH=Connecticut State Department of Public Health; MAVEN refers to an online electronic database for the Connecticut State Department of Public Health to report EBL testing; FSE= food service establishment; R.S. = registered sanitarian certification;